



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/809,612	03/25/2004	Christopher J. Twigg	PC-1434CIP	7256		
23717	7590 03/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN S STEINBERGER			CONLEY, FREDRICK C			
101 BREVARD AVENUE COCOA, FL 32922			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3673			
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/809,612	TWIGG, CHRISTOPHER J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FREDRICK C. CONLEY	3673		

		FREDRICK C. CONLEY	3673	
The MAILING	DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 16 M	larch 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed this application, applaces the application 	after a final rejection, but prior to or on plicant must timely file one of the follow ion in condition for allowance; (2) a No inued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notic ving replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for re b) The period for re no event, howeve Examiner Note: I	eply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u> ply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A er, will the statutory period for reply expire to the found of the f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ater than SIX MONTHS from the n (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be on nave been filed is the date founder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is callest forth in (b) above, if check	obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or purposes of determining the period of exticulated from: (1) the expiration date of the scked. Any reply received by the Office laterent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CF tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailir	ount of the fee. The approper originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee îce action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of	eal was filed on A brief in comp Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of tl	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed ame (a) They raise no (b) They raise th	endment(s) filed after a final rejection, lew issues that would require further cole issue of new matter (see NOTE belodeemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/ (d) ☐ They present	or t additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finall	-	
4. The amendments a 5. Applicant's reply h 6. Newly proposed o	e Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 has overcome the following rejection(s) are amended claim(s) would be also as a second s	21. See attached Notice of No.:		
how the new or am	opeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) sended claims would be rejected is problaim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 81.		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: :	1,3,5,6,12-25,28,29 and 32. n from consideration:			
8. The affidavit or oth because applicant	er evidence filed after a final action, bu failed to provide a showing of good an sented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the at	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> fidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
entered because the showing a good an	er evidence filed after the date of filing ne affidavit or other evidence failed to o id sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECON				
	econsideration has been considered bu I Information Disclosure Statement(s).			ince because.
13. Other:		,	ANS A	
		Ü	Suzanne Dino Barrett	

Primary Examiner

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As stated in the rejection, it is confusting how the user is able to bend back the bottom of each clip 80 once the bottom end of the vertical leg portions are within the top opening 315 and the pin 84 is arranged in the side opening 325 fo the footer. Page 15 lines 14-15 in the specification discloses that the lower end of the metal plate 80 extends below the bottom end of the vertical leg portions as seen in figure 13C. If the metal plate extends below the bottom end of the vertical leg portion then how is it secured within the top opening 315 and once the pin is secured in the side opening 325 how is the pin pulled out of the side opening if the metal plate extends below the bottom end of the vertical leg portion...In addition, claim 6 depends from canceled claim 5.